

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/552,076	10/04/2005	Andrei Terechko	NL 030344	8796	
24737 PHILIPS INTI	7590 09/13/201 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 300	1	VICARY, KEITH E			
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2183		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/13/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/552,076	TERECHKO, ANDREI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
KEITH VICARY	2183		

		KEITH VICARY	- 1:	2183	
The MAILING DATE of this commu	ınication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	ith the co	rrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2010 FAILS 1	TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN COND	DITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, bu application, applicant must timely file one of application in condition for allowance; (2) a for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliperiods: 	ut prior to or on of the following r Notice of Appe	the same day as filing a Ne eplies: (1) an amendment, al (with appeal fee) in com	otice of Ap , affidavit, ipliance w	opeal. To avoid abar or other evidence, w ith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mail no event, however, will the statutory period Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check of MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. Se	ing date of this A for reply expire la either box (a) or (l	dvisory Action, or (2) the date tter than SIX MONTHS from the b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) When	he mailing o	late of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.1 have been filled is the date for purposes of determining under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expira- set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received b may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See : NOTICE OF APPEAL	36(a). The date of the period of extention date of the s by the Office later	on which the petition under 37 ension and the corresponding hortened statutory period for r	amount of eply origina	the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS	a)), or any exter	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to a	void dismissal of the	
⊠ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a (a)	quire further con	sideration and/or search (s			cause
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the ap appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims witho	ut canceling a c				ne issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 4. The amendments are not in compliance w 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	ith 37 CFR 1.12		Non-Com	pliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) non-allowable claim(s).			parate, tin	nely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. \(\times\) for purposes of appeal, the proposed ame how the new or amended claims would be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as: Claim(s) allowed:	rejected is prov follows:		o)□ will t	e entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	_				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a because applicant failed to provide a show was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1. 	ing of good and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the entered because the affidavit or other evide showing a good and sufficient reasons why 	ence failed to or it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections unde and was not earlier preser	erappeal nted. See	and/or appellant fails 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	. An explanation	of the status of the claims	s after enti	y is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been	considered but	does NOT place the appli	ication in o	ondition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure</i> 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.	Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	—		
/Eddie P Chan/	3				

Continuation of 13. Other: Examiner first notes that the amendment would require further consideration and/or search. As previously noted in the final rejection mailed 7/7/2010, the Board decision mailed 9/25/2009 states that rejections of claims over prior art should not be based on speculation and assumptions as to the scope of the claims. Consequently, any amendments to the claims which would clarify the scope of the claims (e.g. by overcoming indefinite issues) would require further consideration and/or search in view of the new definite scope of the claims.

However, examiner's preliminary opinion of the amended claims is that the pending indefinite rejection would not be overcome, as the amended claims appear to convey that any given pipeline register is arranged in both said control connections and a dedicated direct signal data signal connection; this is not supported by the original disclosure.

ΚV